

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,988 07/05/2001		07/05/2001	Koichi Kamijo	JP919980098	3639	
35195	7590	09/01/2006		EXAMINER		
FERENCE 409 BROA			LEE, Y YOUNG			
PITTSBUR		=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2621		
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/763,988	KAMIJO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Y. Lee	2621		

	Y. Lee	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ma	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>19-21 and 34-36</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-18,23,28,30-33 and 38-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>22,24-27,29 and</u>	<u>37</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: PTO-892.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
		haran and a second	_				
		Y. Lee					
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2621					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Wise et al (6,799,246) is cited in response to applicant's traverse of Examiner's Official Notice. In particular, columns 108-109 teaches the concept of such well known technique of embedding additional information in the sync intervals of a frame.